May 10, 2015

Dear PLOS staff,

I hereby submit my manuscript ‘Reanalyzing Head et al. (2015): No widespread p-hacking after all?’ I would appreciate it if you could consider my work for publication in the *PLOS: Biology* journal. This is an original manuscript, and is not under consideration elsewhere. The main text of the manuscript is 1,381 words long and is accompanied by 1 figure and 1 supplemental file.

The original paper by Megan Head and colleagues was published last March and has already received approximately 40,000. In other words, this paper has gained considerable attention. Considering the implications of the results on research conduct throughout science, I thought it important to reanalyze their data. The results of this paper indicate different data analytic strategies give substantially different results, hence substantially different implications. Considering the previous impact and widespread discussion, I thought it was worthwhile to write up as a formal comment.

Please note I made all research files available on the Open Science Framework (OSF). The link to this OSF page is provided in the manuscript. Hence, it is likely reviewers will find out I am the author. Personally, I do not consider this problematic.

I look forward to your reply and hope you will find my reanalysis intriguing for review.

Kind regards,



Chris H.J. Hartgerink

Tilburg University

Warandelaan 2, 5037AB, Tilburg  
c.h.j.hartgerink@tilburguniversity.edu